IATTC-75 PROP B1a ESP JPN USA Performance review.DOC

INTER-AMERICAN TROPICAL TUNA COMMISSION

75TH MEETING

CANCUN (MEXICO) 25-29 JUNE 2007

PROP B1A

SUBMITTED BY JAPAN, SPAIN AND THE UNITED STATES

RESOLUTION BY IATTC TO UNDERTAKE A PERFORMANCE REVIEW OF THE ORGANISATION

The Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC):

Taking into account the desirability for IATTC to respond positively to the 2006 UN Resolution 61/105 calling for Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs), such as IATTC, to undertake urgently a Performance Review;

Noting the Course of Actions for RFMOs identified at the Joint Tuna RFMOs Meeting in Kobe, Japan on 26 January 2007, and notably those in relation to Performance Reviews and Annex I to Appendix 14 of the Report of the Joint RFMO Meeting;

Further noting the communication of 30 April 2007 from the Facilitator of the Performance Review Discussion identifying criteria to be used in the undertaking of a Performance Review;

Recognising that other RFMOs are also in the process of undertaking similar Performance Reviews;

Aware that the international community has expectations that all RFMOs will undertake a Performance Review thereby respecting the UN Resolution 61/105 and the need for accountability in regard to the stewardship entrusted to RFMOs for the conservation of the fisheries resources;

Further recognising the urgency in which such Performance Reviews should be undertaken;

Resolves as follows:

- 1. The Commission shall conduct a Performance Review, which shall be carried out on the basis of the attached provisional list of criteria.
- 2. A Review Panel composed of a representative from 6 Parties of IATTC, a representative from a IATTC NGO observer, and 2 external experts with notably scientific, fisheries management and legal experience, respectively, shall be constituted.
 - The external experts shall be internationally recognised, but not be involved with or have experience of IATTC.
 - The Review Panel Chairperson shall be a Panel member selected by the Panel.
- 3. The IATTC Secretariat shall provide logistical support to the Review Panel, and will participate in the work of the Panel as the Panel deems necessary.
- 4. Travel and accommodation costs for the participation in the Review Panel meetings for external experts shall be borne by the IATTC Budget. IATTC Parties shall bear the costs of their own representatives participating in the Review Panels proceedings.
- 5. The Panel Chairperson shall communicate the report and recommendations of the Review Panel to the Chairman of the IATTC and the Director at least 60 days in advance of the 2009 Annual Meeting. The Director shall distribute the report and recommendations to Parties and observers and place them on the Commission's website.

ANNEX 1

Suggested Criteria for Reviewing the Performance of Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs)

	AREA	General Criteria	Detailed Criteria
1	Conservation	Status of living	Status of major fish stocks under the purview of the RFMO in relation to
1	and management	marine resources	maximum sustainable yield or other relevant biological standards.
			Trends in the status of those stocks.
			Status of species that belong to the same ecosystems as, or are associated with
			or dependent upon, the major target stocks (hereinafter "non-target species").
			 Trends in the status of those species.
		Data collection and	Extent to which the RFMO has agreed formats, specifications and timeframes
		sharing	for data submission, taking into account UNFSA Annex I.
			Extent to which RFMO members and cooperating non-members, individually
			or through the RFMO, collect and share complete and accurate fisheries data
			concerning target stocks and non-target species and other relevant data in a
			timely manner.
			• Extent to which fishing data and fishing vessel data are gathered by the RFMO
			and shared among members and other RFMOs.
			• Extent to which the RFMO is addressing any gaps in the collection and sharing
			of data as required.
		Quality and	• Extent to which the RFMO receives and/or produces the best scientific advice
		provision of	relevant to the fish stocks and other living marine resources under its purview,
		scientific advice	as well as to the effects of fishing on the marine environment.
		Adoption of	Extent to which the RFMO has adopted conservation and management
		conservation and	measures for both target stocks and non-target species that ensures the long-
		management	term sustainability of such stocks and species and are based on the best
		measures	scientific evidence available.
			• Extent to which the RFMO has applied the precautionary approach as set forth
			in UNFSA Article 6 and the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries Article
			7.5, including the application of precautionary reference points.Extent to which the RFMO has adopted and is implementing effective
			Extent to which the RFMO has adopted and is implementing effective rebuilding plans for depleted or overfished stocks.
			Extent to which the RFMO has moved toward the adoption of conservation and
			management measures for previously unregulated fisheries, including new and
			exploratory fisheries.
			Extent to which the RFMO has taken due account of the need to conserve
			marine biological diversity and minimize harmful impacts of fisheries on living
			marine resources and marine ecosystems.
			• Extent to which the RFMO has adopted measures to minimize pollution, waste,
			discards, catch by lost or abandoned gear, catch of non-target species, both fish
			and non-fish species, and impacts on associated or dependent species, in
			particular endangered species, through measures including, to the extent
			practicable, the development and use of selective, environmentally safe and
			cost-effective fishing gear and techniques.
		Capacity	• Extent to which the RFMO has identified fishing capacity levels commensurate
		management	with long-term sustainability and optimum utilization of relevant fisheries.
			• Extent to which the RFMO has taken actions to prevent or eliminate excess
		G	fishing capacity and effort.
		Compatibility of	• Extent to which measures have been adopted as reflected in UNFSA Article 7.
		management	
		measures	E. C. 1114 PENO
		Fishing allocations	• Extent to which the RFMO agrees on the allocation of allowable catch or levels
		and opportunities	of fishing effort, including taking into account requests for participation from
			new members or participants as reflected in UNFSA Article 11.

IATTC-75 PROP B1a ESP JPN USA Performance review.DOC

			-
2	Compliance and enforcement	Flag State duties	 Extent to which RFMO members are fulfilling their duties as flag States under the treaty establishing the RFMO, pursuant to measures adopted by the RFMO, and under other international instruments, including, inter alia, the 1982 Law of the Sea Convention, the UNFSA and the 1993 FAO Compliance Agreement, as applicable.
		Port State measures	 Extent to which the RFMO has adopted measures relating to the exercise of the rights and duties of its members as port States, as reflected in UNFSA Article 23 and the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries Article 8.3. Extent to which these measures are effectively implemented.
		Monitoring, control and surveillance (MCS)	 Extent to which the RFMO has adopted integrated MCS measures (e.g., required use of VMS, observers, catch documentation and trade tracking schemes, restrictions on transshipment, boarding and inspection schemes). Extent to which these measures are effectively implemented.
		Follow-up on infringements	• Extent to which the RFMO, its members and cooperating non-members follow up on infringements to management measures.
		Cooperative mechanisms to detect and deter non-compliance	Extent to which the RFMO has established adequate cooperative mechanisms to both monitor compliance and detect and deter non-compliance (e.g., compliance committees, vessel lists, sharing of information about non-compliance).
		Market-related measures	 Extent to which these mechanisms are being effectively utilized. Extent to which the RFMO has adopted measures relating to the exercise of the rights and duties of its members as market States. Extent to which these market-related measures are effectively implemented.
3	Decision-making and dispute settlement	Decision-making	Extent to which RFMO has transparent and consistent decision-making procedures that facilitate the adoption of conservation and management measures in a timely and effective manner.
		Dispute settlement	• Extent to which the RFMO has established adequate mechanisms for resolving disputes.
4	International cooperation	Transparency	 Extent to which the RFMO is operating in a transparent manner, as reflected in UNFSA Article 12 and the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries Article 7.1.9. Extent to which RFMO decisions, meeting reports, scientific advice upon which decisions are made, and other relevant materials are made publicly available in a timely fashion.
		Relationship to cooperating non-members	Extent to which the RFMO facilitates cooperation between members and non-members, including through the adoption and implementation of procedures for granting cooperating status.
		Relationship to non-cooperating non-members	Extent of fishing activity by vessels of non-members that are not cooperating with the RFMO, as well as measures to deter such activities.
		Cooperation with other RFMOs	• Extent to which the RFMO cooperates with other RFMOs, including through the network of Regional Fishery Body Secretariats.
		Special requirements of developing States	 Extent to which the RFMO recognizes the special needs of developing States and pursues forms of cooperation with developing States, including with respect to fishing allocations or opportunities, taking into account UNFSA Articles 24 and 25, and the Code of Conduct of Responsible Fisheries Article 5. Extent to which RFMO members, individually or through the RFMO, provide relevant assistance to developing States, as reflected in UNFSA Article 26.
5	Financial and administrative issues	Availability of resources for RFMO activities	Extent to which financial and other resources are made available to achieve the aims of the RFMO and to implement the RFMO's decisions.
		Efficiency and cost-effectiveness	Extent to which the RFMO is efficiently and effectively managing its human and financial resources, including those of the Secretariat.