

INTER-AMERICAN TROPICAL TUNA COMMISSION

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

11<sup>TH</sup> MEETING

La Jolla, California (USA)

11-15 May 2020<sup>1</sup>

DOCUMENT SAC-11-05

STOCK STATUS INDICATORS (SSIs) FOR TROPICAL TUNAS IN THE EASTERN  
PACIFIC OCEAN

CONTENTS

Summary ..... 1  
1. Background ..... 1  
2. Results and discussion ..... 3

**SUMMARY**

Stock status indicators (SSIs; time series of data used as supplements to stock assessments), based on both purse-seine and longline data, are presented for the three tropical tuna species (yellowfin, bigeye, and skipjack). The methods used previously to compute the SSIs, based on purse-seine data only, have been revised to mitigate possible bias introduced in the allocation of fishing effort among purse-seine set types. The new SSIs: (a) include measures of catch, effort, CPUE, and average length of the fish in the retained catch; (b) begin in 2000, the first year of species composition sampling for the purse-seine fishery and shortly after the major offshore expansion of the floating-object fishery; and (c) have reference levels set at the 10% and 90% percentiles. Most SSIs based on the floating-object fishery suggest that the fishing mortality of all three species has increased, mainly due to the increase in the number of floating-object sets. The constantly increasing trend in the number of such sets since 2005 is reflected in increased catches of yellowfin and skipjack, and reduced catch-per-set and average length for all three species, in the floating-object fishery. However, trends in some other SSIs do not support the interpretation that fishing mortality has increased as a result of an increase in the number of floating-object sets. Identifying the causes of differences among the SSIs is difficult, even when SSIs are considered in aggregate. Nonetheless, the fact that most SSIs based on the floating-object fishery are consistent with an increase in fishing mortality in that fishery means that precautionary management measures should be considered to prevent further increases.

**1. BACKGROUND**

One of the management objectives for tropical tunas in the eastern Pacific Ocean (EPO) established in the Antigua Convention is to maintain populations at levels of abundance which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Management objectives based on MSY or related reference points (*e.g.* fishing mortality that produces MSY ( $F_{MSY}$ ); spawner-per-recruit proxies) are in use for many species and stocks worldwide. However, these objectives require the estimation of both reference points and quantities to which they can be compared. Various model-based reference points require different amounts and types

---

<sup>1</sup> Postponed until a later date to be determined

of information, from biological information (*e.g.* natural mortality, growth, stock-recruitment relationship) and fisheries characteristics (*e.g.* age-specific selectivity) to estimates of absolute biomass and exploitation rates, which in turn generally require a formal stock assessment model. For many species, the information required to conduct such an assessment is not available, or the assessments are unreliable, and alternative approaches are needed.

One option is to compute stock status indicators (SSIs), which are simply time series of raw or lightly-processed data about a stock, such as fishing effort, catch, CPUE, or the size of fish in the catch, that may reflect trends in abundance or exploitation of that stock. SSIs cannot be used directly for management that depends on model-based quantities (*e.g.*  $MSY$ ,  $F_{MSY}$ ), but they can be used for historical comparisons and to identify trends, and can provide information that may be useful for managing a stock. They can also be used in management strategies that do not rely on model-based harvest control rules (HCRs), such as empirical (data-based) HCRs whose performance can be formally evaluated using management strategy evaluation.

SSIs were initially developed for skipjack because traditional stock assessments of that species were considered unreliable (*e.g.* Maunder and Deriso 2007), but they have also been used recently as a component of the staff's management advice for yellowfin and bigeye in the EPO, for several reasons. Since 2018, SSIs have become particularly important as a supplement to, or temporary replacement for, formal stock assessments for both bigeye ([SAC-09-16](#)) and yellowfin ([SAC-10-08](#)), because the staff considered that the results of the assessments were not reliable as a basis for its management advice.

Since the previous meeting of the SAC in 2019 (SAC-10), the staff has completed the [workplan to improve the tropical tuna stock assessments](#), and the bigeye (SAC-11-06) and yellowfin (SAC-11-07) assessments, which are now included in a risk-based framework (SAC-11 INF-F), are considered reliable for management advice. However, two sets of SSIs, one based on data from the purse-seine fishery and the other on data from the longline fishery, will continue to be presented as supplemental information for consideration when taking management decisions. The SSIs for all three species have been harmonized using the same data and collated into this report to facilitate comparisons among species.

The **purse-seine-based SSIs** presented are: **number of sets, by set type** ([Figure 1](#)), **closure-adjusted capacity** ([Figure 1](#)), **catch by set type** ([Figure 2](#)), **catch-per-set by set type** ([Figure 3](#)), and **average length of the fish in the retained catch, by set type** ([Figure 4](#)). For yellowfin, an additional SSI was developed based on spatio-temporal modelling of **catch-per-day-fished (CPDF)** for the fishery associated with dolphins ([Figure 5](#)), which is superior to the CPDF SSIs used previously. Catch-per-set by set type replaces the CPDF SSIs used previously, which are considered unreliable due to possible biases in the method used to assign days fished to set types; also, the model-based indicators used for skipjack are no longer reported because they were based on the same CPDF data. The current SSIs begin in 2000 because the IATTC port-sampling program began the species composition sampling in that year, and it is after the major offshore expansion of the floating-object fishery which started in the mid-1990s. All SSIs are scaled (relative indicators) so that their average equals 1 during the 2000-2019 period. The reference levels were changed from the 5% and 95% percentiles to the 10% and 90% percentiles because extreme percentiles are less reliable with fewer years of data.

Several indicators that use data from the **longline fishery** have also been developed. These include **catch and effort** ([Figure 6](#)), and **CPUE** and **average length** from a spatio-temporal model ([Figure 7](#)), which are used in the stock assessments. To be consistent with the purse-seine SSIs, the longline SSIs begin in 2000 and have been scaled so that their average equals 1 during the 2000-2019 period. Reference levels also are based on the 10% and 90% percentiles.

Further information about bigeye and yellowfin can be found in Documents [SAC-11-06](#) and [SAC-11-07](#),

respectively, and information on the absolute catch and number of sets in [SAC-11-03](#).


## 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Many of the indicator values for recent years are near their reference levels. Exceeding a reference level can have multiple interpretations, and these will depend on the particular SSI being considered and whether the upper or the lower reference level has been exceeded. To interpret trends in SSIs, it may be helpful to take multiple SSIs into consideration simultaneously.

Most floating-object fishery SSIs suggest that the stocks for all three species have potentially been subject to increased fishing mortality, mainly due to the increase in the number of sets in the floating-object fishery. Of particular concern is the constantly increasing trend in the number of floating object sets observed since 2005 ([Figure 1](#)). This is reflected as an increase in catch for yellowfin and skipjack in floating-object sets ([Figure 2](#)), and for all three species, a decline in catch-per-set ([Figure 3](#)) and in average length of the fish in the catch ([Figure 4](#)) for the floating-object fishery. The interpretation of increased fishing mortality is supported by trends in average length of bigeye and skipjack caught in the other set types, and by the trend in the yellowfin longline CPUE index based on spatio-temporal modelling ([Figure 7](#)).


On the other hand, trends in some of the other SSIs do not support the interpretation that increased fishing mortality is occurring as a result of an increase in the numbers of floating-object sets. In particular, trends in catch-per-set for other set types ([Figure 3](#)), mean length of yellowfin in the other set types ([Figure 4](#)), and the longline SSIs ([Figures 6-7](#)), except yellowfin CPUE, are not consistent with this interpretation. The indicator for yellowfin-based on spatio-temporal modelling of CPDF for the purse-seine fishery on yellowfin associated with dolphins shows a recent period of low CPUE starting in 2015 ([Figure 5](#)) which coincides with a period of increased yellowfin catches in floating-objects set ([Figure 2](#)).

Identifying the causes of differences in the SSIs is difficult, even when SSIs are considered in aggregate. The inconsistencies among SSIs for yellowfin may be due to an interaction between potential stock structure and differences in the spatial distribution of effort in the different set types. In addition, catch-per-set may not be a reliable indicator of abundance, particularly for the target species (*i.e.* skipjack in the floating-object fishery and yellowfin in the dolphin-associated fishery). Nonetheless, the fact that most SSIs based on the floating-object fishery are consistent with an increase in fishing mortality in that fishery means that precautionary management measures should be considered to prevent further increases.




**FIGURE 1.** Indicators based on purse-seine fishing effort, 2000-2020.

**FIGURA 1.** Indicadores basados en el esfuerzo de pesca de cerco, 2000-2020.




**FIGURE 2a.** Indicators based on purse-seine catch in weight, 2000-2020.

**FIGURA 2a.** Indicadores basados en la captura cerquera en peso, 2000-2020.




**FIGURE 2b.** Indicators based on purse-seine catch in number, 2000-2020.

**FIGURA 2b.** Indicadores basados en la captura cerquera en número, 2000-2020.




**FIGURE 3.** Indicators based on purse-seine catch-per-set, 2000-2020.

**FIGURA 3.** Indicadores basados en captura por lance cerquero, 2000-2020.






**FIGURE 4.** Indicators based on average length of fish in the purse-seine catch, 2000-2020. The y-axis limits differ from the figures for the other indicators to accentuate the changes because average length is less sensitive to fishing mortality.

**FIGURA 4.** Indicadores basados en la talla promedio del pescado en la captura cerquera, 2000-2020. Los límites del eje y difieren de las figuras de los otros indicadores para acentuar los cambios ya que la talla promedio es menos sensible a la mortalidad por pesca.




**FIGURE 5.** Indicator based on spatio-temporal modelling of catch-per-day-fished for the purse-seine fishery on yellowfin associated with dolphins, 2000-2020.

**FIGURA 5.** Indicador basado en el modelado espaciotemporal de la captura por día de pesca para la pesquería cerquera de aleta amarilla asociado a delfines, 2000-2020.



**FIGURE 6.** Indicators based on longline catch and effort data, 2000-2020.

**FIGURA 6.** Indicadores basados en datos de captura y esfuerzo de palangre, 2000-2020.



**FIGURE 7.** Indicators based on spatio-temporal modelling of longline data, 2000-2020. The y-axis limits for average length differ from the figures for the other indicators to accentuate the changes because average length is less sensitive to fishing mortality.

**FIGURA 7.** Indicadores basados en el modelado espaciotemporal de datos de palangre, 2000-2020. Los límites del eje y para la talla promedio difieren de las figuras de los otros indicadores para acentuar los cambios ya que la talla promedio es menos sensible a la mortalidad por pesca.